

exactphilosophy.net



avantgarde

Timeline

Some events related to exactphilosophy. . .

- 1998 First discovery disclosure written down, followed by one in 2000 and one in 2001, plus all three as a collection in 2001. Unpublished at the time, except each time their cryptographic hashes/timestamps/signatures as proof of discovery.
- 2001 Idea to describe star signs (zodiac signs) as transitions between the four classical elements. The sun was in Aquarius, most likely it was already February.
Discovery disclosures. March and May.
Domain exactphilosophy.net registered. 19 March at 19:56:24 CET from Adliswil.
- 2002 Website exactphilosophy.net goes live. 20 June at 9:00 Adliswil. Publication of *A few new discoveries in physics* along with its initial one-page review. Announced in various Usenet newsgroups at the same time.
- 2004 Idea to define **elements in terms of in/out (space), rest/move (time) and their transitions**. First time publicly visible 20 May 2004 at 00:24:44 UTC, in a post titled simply "Disclosure" to the Usenet newsgroup alt.astrology.tropical. In the post, which I had posted 19 May 2004 about 15 minutes before midnight Swiss time, I say that I had the idea "last Wednesday (2004-05-18)", which in my view would have been Tue 18 May, but maybe was Wed 12 or even 19 May.
- 2005 Current design of this website, centered around the above idea. Content generated using LaTeX. Fox icon 17 September ca. 7:50 Adliswil.
In late December the first version with already the four basic sections 'space and time', 'metamorphosis', 'greek philosophy' and 'i ching'.
- 2010 Added 'p' comet to fox icon, "foxyfox". 11 October 19:45 Adliswil.
- 2011 Ideas related to "Artemis, the Secret Surreal World of the Moon". Published starting December 2014 to the astro.com forum in the so-called "Mondfaden".
- 2016 Article *Elementary Star Signs*, first in German, then in English. Late July.
Specific idea how to arrange trigrams in a circle as elemental transitions between the four "Greek" elements. 4 Aug 2016 in the evening in Adliswil.
Publication of the book *exactphilosophy.net 2016*. August.
First version of the article *Elemental changes in the I Ching*. September. Mailed to dozens of historians world-wide.
- 2017 Article 'Deep Learning and astrology' (in 'Astro teasers' now). December.
Publication of the book *exactphilosophy.net 2017*. December.
- 2018 Publication of the book *Elementare Sternzeichen* in January and its translation to English *Elementary Star Signs* in March. Advertised in half a dozen astrological publications world-wide, six public reviews.
Switched (temporarily until Dec 2019) to a new, more formal potential definition of emo as the difference between two images taken in short sequence. September.

- 2019 Cover design **ELEMENTAL**. January.
 Articles '~~White~~-red-black and triple moon goddess?' and '~~White~~-red-black and the "**green**" goddess'. April.
 Publication of the book *exactphilosophy.net 2019*. May.
 Renaissance of the original idea. Moved the formal definition of emo/ero of 2018 to a later section (now in 'measurement'), as it seems not fundamental enough to reflect the immediate experience of being. December.
- 2020 Passing away of my dear parents Romeo+Suzy. January/February.
 Circumstantial evidence for how colors white-red-black relate to fire and moon, going back far into prehistory, and likely led to classical Greek elements.
 Possibly some steps closer to describing the star signs in terms of transformations between elements defined purely in terms of in/out and rest/move.
 Publication of the book *exactphilosophy.net 2020*. November.
 Idea that the psyche may operate almost exclusively along the circle of elements.
 Publication of the pocket book *Space, Time, Elements at exactphilosophy.net*. December.
 Realization that Apuleius' tasks of Psyche likely draw more on Plato's philosophy and later philosophical ideas than on mythology.
- 2021 Idea that outside ero is more inert and thus 'heavier'/denser than emo, and inside emi is more inert and thus 'heavier'/denser than eri; idea that in prehistory eri and emo would have been a single experience of life in the psyche, thus at first only three elements, colored white-red-black. January.
 Realization that findings thus far regarding the core idea of elements in terms of in/out, rest/move and their transformations, have now reached "critical mass"; their equivalence to ancient elements can now be shown practically without gaps. Maybe a conclusion, a natural end of my research has been reached. February.
 Publication of the pocket book *Space, Time, Elements at exactphilosophy.net*, Second Edition. April.
Sleeping Beauty Dreaming. Summer.
 Publication of the book *exactphilosophy.net*. October.
 First visit of the harvester of the Swiss National Library. December.
- 2022 Archive 'noindex'.
 Article *Cargo Cult Astrology*, also in German. Published in December.
- 2023 Core web in German. 8 July 2023 at 20:32 CEST Adliswil.
 Special article *Amber's seen and not Heard prank?* July.
- 2024 Publication of the book *exactphilosophy.net* (edition 2024). January.
 Conscious insight that my approach around the core idea is generally via a **con-templating self**, for which outside things tend to rest and making them move tends to require energy, while inside things tend to move and making them rest tends to require energy, whereas for a self that gets drawn into a chain of thought that chain of thought would usually appear both moving and active. October.
 Via 'contemplatio' and 'theōría', Plato's cave seems to be more closely related to my perspective on active/passive inside than expected and maybe the best way to start telling the world about my findings. I guess, I might cultivate the "three mounds on my forehead": $\chi\phi$, the book twins '~~Space~~ **Time Elements**' / '~~Raum~~ **Zeit Elemente**' and of course the one I keep dreaming of. 29 October.
 Sleeping Beauty Dreaming of **ELEMENTAL**...
- 2025 Core idea most likely unrecoverably gone, no future. Maybe a little bit of future for smaller ideas on the side. But they are already published.
 Publication of the book *exactphilosophy.net* (final edition 2025). May.

Turning the page on *exactphilosophy.net* (final edition 2025), I doubt that the core idea would be “unrecoverably gone”. I rather see this potential “flaw” in an otherwise at least qualitatively almost all-encompassing model of life and the world as a valuable asset to make it interesting for others, a “riddle” to be solved or maybe even to remain puzzling for a long time. Around noon on 23 May I got 3 copies of *ELEMENTAL* with just about π pages of text and this time, even though even there some changes seem necessary, I could really feel it, could feel that this book can grow now and is in many ways the successor to the past works at *exactphilosophy.net*. But for all that it appears, the book pair ‘*Space Time Elements*’ / ‘*Raum Zeit Elemente*’ will also just evolve, sort of reformulated as a first glimpse at a new idea, hence no need to be perfect, just expose the basic ideas; I noticed that evolutions around these two books usually had something to do with Aquarius, which is probably good for promotion at least within a group of people who read such textbooks, compared to *ELEMENTAL*, which has potential for a much larger audience. And, last but not least, I will write a series of books titled ‘Reports for Future Academies’, inspired by the Matt Helm movies of the 1960s and Kafka, with topics around ideas from *exactphilosophy.net* and forum/Usenet posts; each report maybe at most 20 pages or something like that, primarily addressing the future academies, but also with concessions for contemporary readers with a free mind. 24 May.

PS: How *ELEMENTAL* has evolved today even reminds a lot of the oracles mentioned in the article ‘Mysteries of life’.

Maybe my observation at the end of the leads of the ‘metamorphosis’ section (as of the book of 2025) would really be the key to recover my core idea, namely that I myself have no planets in air signs in my birth chart, hence lack some calm or poise inside, even despite my IC in the middle of Libra... 5 June.



A day later, I see things again more differentiated. In the cycle of elements inspired by their physical properties, water flows down, fire rises up, air rests high up, earth rests low down. Water and earth would be heavy and passive, hence water flowing down would match that. Whether light fire and air would thus be active when moving up or resting up, is a bit more doubtful, but provides a nice symmetry that seems often in line with astrology and similar cultural systems.

However, whether *eri* defined as what rests inside, would be active, while *emi* defined as what moves inside would be passive, is somewhat of a different question. What would a rational (air) perspective on that say? Well, one could argue that elements would exist both outside and inside the mind for a start.

But going back to the cycle of elements: Focus inside is needed to change something outside and since outside most things tend to rest or come to rest, focus inside would usually create movement outside, i.e. a transition from *eri* to *emo*. And so on. Hence in the end, not all is lost, rather to the contrary.

I think this just needs time for now, probably some years of mostly non-public contemplation, while still writing a few books on the side, as listed further above. And never say never.



The elements with their natural properties or also views based on Aristotle, as well as elements as defined by me here, do quite naturally transform in circles. But the elements as currently used in (psychological) astrology do not. A thought (air) can trigger to imagine (fire) something, to consider how realistic (earth) it

is and how it feels (water), and also the other ways round. That is not a circle, that is a mutual interconnection between all four.

Hence maybe splitting up eri into memory (earth), logical/abstract thoughts (air) and free imagination (fire) would provide a closer match to the psychological view on elements, especially since they would by definition be inside the mind. And probably relate eri to what is conscious, since the above three states of eri can be recalled at will, and emi mainly to what is unconscious. Other than memories, thoughts that follow some logic and free imaginations, feelings cannot be directly analyzed, because they do not directly reveal their inner (unconscious) workings. Well, this seems to fit already quite well together. 9 June.



There is one thing about the idea of internal states that is maybe a flaw: earth-air-fire (memory-logic-imagination) would not be part of Aristotle's circle of elements (earth-air flips cold+dry to hot+wet).

And regarding cycles, there seems to be a cycle ero-emo-eri-emi-ero, a cycle that goes in and out, maybe more important than one inside the mind, and, yes, outside with "physical" elements there seems to be a cycle.

Well, all in all, maybe really just publish with all the flaws mentioned as I first brought up 24 May, the day before Saturn entered Aries for the first time in the lifetime of exactphilosophy.net: Maybe I can sort it out later, maybe someone else can find something useful in it later or even very much later.

And, yes, as Cyntia stresses in **ELEMENTAL**, only if and as long as you focus, things stay put inside, making the core idea worth sharing as it is. 14 June.



I think at the moment doing my research more privately and also more leisurely than in the years since 2016 is good, because new ideas and perspectives often only come in time, while writing a little more towards specific publications, also rather leisurely, would seem to be more healthy for me personally: visible and tangible output instead of nothing, but never say never, in all aspects.

But what I have also been observing in the past few weeks with Neptune and especially Saturn in a new cycle, is that people seem to at least try to drop previous commitments or relations, also to me, thus maybe I could also be happy now by doing nothing about many or all the things that emerged since the turn of the millenium at exactphilosophy.net, and could maybe do other things, maybe not so fancy ones, more like Odysseus in Plato's Republic.

Well, on verra, never say never, relax... 14 June.



I guess I will keep contemplating the core idea. A contemplating self is maybe the key. It would not be drawn into a tunnel of thoughts that chase each other, would rather see it happen, itself rather effortlessly, while focussing on something to keep it put would require energy.

Looking back, so far not all that much new and significant since the 2025 book.

That psychological elements seem rather not to go naturally in a circle would have maybe been worth a lead.

The basic idea of just writing about the core idea with mention of potential or even likely flaws certainly has some appeal, but: Really do that or still wait for

maybe a new idea? But maybe at least the book pair that is now explicitly intended to be just a first glimpse at a new way of seeing the world, why not just do that there? And the reports for future academies, why not just do some of them? Going in circles, better for me personally to gently, leisurely, but still quite regularly to write down some stuff in books and then publish them (no promotion or marketing needed), and to keep ruminating on the core idea, maybe some new perspectives will evolve in time, actually maybe only via the process of writing the books or even from feedback of readers, or, of course, they themselves eventually carrying on and me maybe just leaning back and watching things grow, as I always dreamed of?

I am also thinking back of Petrarca's account of how he climbed Mont-Ventoux with his brother and two servants, an event that marked the beginning of the Renaissance. There Petrarca recounts that he was often first trying to walk around the mountain to find a good way up, while his brother would often just go up, also since in the end you have to go all the way to the top anyways. To me it seems that it would still be a pity if my findings would only be published in forms that seem rather hard to access, instead of at least having given it some effort to make them more accessible. 15 June.



I made sort of a Gedankenexperiment today. I 'asked' my Saturn of after its latest return about a month ago about this (this time around Saturn had returned in the 10th house of also public status and career). The 'answer' was rather astonishing to me: **No need to write/publish more; things would already be sufficiently published; and also no need to continue to research it.** I occasionally made similar 'queries' in the past, but their results were often along the lines of something I would have expected to come from 'myself'. This seems different. Would be nice if I could just essentially let this here be and do completely other things, I guess maybe like Odysseus rather than like Petrarca. Time will tell, but maybe I just got lucky. . . And I guess I would still be free to write and publish, if fun to me, maybe most fun the reports for future academies, with monographs rather more leisurely on the side, but I am again going in circles. 15+16 June.



Space seems to have 3 dimensions, time only one. Space seems to be static in a way (not what it contains), while time seems to move in a way. Is that a mere coincidence or maybe related to the core idea in a fundamental way?

Of course, in general relativity space and time are bent by masses and similarly there are more than three states of matter, but in both cases this is largely not part of everyday life, especially was not in antiquity.

Can you really observe your own thinking? Can you contemplate your own inner self while it does what it usually does, often sort of "following the white rabbit", going from clue to clue? I guess this is not usually done, but at least for short moments it can be done, and maybe for longer time spans with some practice, and you can, of course, contemplate in retrospect. 17 June.



I guess I made the same mistake as in 2019 again in 2024/2025, namely to sort of model an observing, contemplating self as separate of what happens outside and inside. There is just a single experience of living. Things are happening in what appears to be an outside and an inside. Inside things generally only rest as

long as you focus, and where you focus next is not something you really control. You can sort of consciously imagine things that move inside, but at least in my experience that is then rather a sequence of images if you look more closely.

In other words, at its core, the core hypothesis of this site would appear to be still be as valid as I thought it was since 2004.

But would it still be as beautiful and natural as before sometime in 2024? Would I want to “refactor” the core site once more, and not be afraid to lose some essential and very beautiful things in it, which I maybe even forgot about?

Thus only continue if/when I am sure, otherwise, which seems more likely, just let the site be, except maybe tiniest rather formal fixes, and leisurely do some of the other things mentioned, if/when it feels like it, and otherwise do other things, or just enjoy life. . . ?

But hey, exactphilosophy.net is my lifeblood, or at least apparently more so than most other related projects, so why not take the risk to try again; worst case there is still the 2025 book. . .

Well, in a nutshell, to me beauty is important; if the idea does regrow to be as beautiful as it used to be to me, I will probably go all the way in with exactphilosophy.net, but otherwise maybe really rather do other things. . .

But there is maybe one certainty: At this time it seems wiser to proceed slowly, to take several years time with the core idea. Other things on the side may grow.

18 June.

PS: Die Zauerperle bei Dschuang Dsi in der Übersetzung von Richard Wilhelm. If you lost something very dear, the only way to find it again, would be to do nothing, to forget about yourself.

18 June around 21:50.



What if I would write ‘Space **Time Elements**’ / ‘Raum **Zeit Elemente**’ in a very modest way, just describe and illustrate my thoughts, including all that is not so sure? Seems like a good idea, also a very Swiss approach. Does not exclude doing other things, writing other books, but that in itself would be a nice and presentable contribution to the world.

What if I would just write some **REPORTS FOR FUTURE AGENDAS** whenever it feels to me like it, or at least make a compilation of titles and topics, as well as technically prepare the format? Why not, just be careful to maybe generally favor the above twin books. These reports have the advantage of being somewhat tailored for the “US approach”, would allow to spread ideas quasi-continuously in social media, hence maybe create some attention. But maybe write several or even many in advance, but do not publish them, yet. Something along those lines, progressing very gently at first, would seem also to be fun.

What about just continuing with **ELEMENTAL** in the same way as since 2019, which is extremely slowly, goes back and forth, but also does not take much of my time? Maybe, in a way just wait for things maybe to unfold there. That book is the only one with immediate “Hollywood” qualities, with a chance to reach almost everyone, hence worth pursuing.

And, yes, hopefully in time maybe recover the core idea in at least almost its original beauty, and then go back to exactphilosophy.net and maybe write that down in the style of the website, or maybe just dream of it. . .

Sounds like a beautiful, realistic, fruitful and leisurely plan. 19 June.



The plan has admittedly at lot that speaks for it. Not sure if I will be able to motivate myself to do much according to it, as long as the core idea is not relatively certainly again something really fundamental, but maybe that will come in time. Presumably the dialogue in **ELEMENTAL** could prove to be the best way to get closer to the core again. 19 June at about 22:20.



But doesn't being modest with the twin books mirror Zhuangzi's forgetting about oneself in order to retrieve the magic pearl again, hence maybe really just do it that way? Similarly with the reports, just write them for anyone who would read them with a mind largely free from (at least contemporary) chains and dogmas? Only with **ELEMENTAL** really try to push the envelope. And finally on the website judge maybe every year if updates or even rearrangements make sense? I guess that way, if all done leisurely, the plan would be viable and usually be fun to do, as well as have rewarding results in at least the first two segments.

For the twin books, I could start by rewriting blurb and preface in a more modest way; for the reports, I could start by collecting titles plus short sketches of what they would contain; with **ELEMENTAL** I could just continue; for the website, I could maybe collect new findings from time to time, maybe even from here, like that psychological elements do apparently not go naturally in a circle, and when it makes sense adapt the website, also keeping in mind that it will be an appendix in the twin books, in English resp. German.

In time, there would also be lots of material emerging that could be promoted, at least more easily than what is present now, especially make things more approachable to people only open to some content that stays within fairly common boundaries. That would be sustainable progress, something I could be proud of, and maybe from some point on just watch evolve by itself.

Sounds still at least as good to me as at the end of the previous page.

20 June at 08:09.



Once more:

'Space **Time Elements**' / 'Raum **Zeit Elemente**' very modestly and leisurely, and include the core website as appendix.

REPORTS FOR FUTURE ACADEMIES very surrealistically and leisurely, start by defining titles and sketches of the content, plus general design.

ELEMENTAL push the envelope, again leisurely.

exactphilosophy.net seldomly update gently and leisurely, usually just maybe a few leads or tiny fixes in the core content, larger changes to the core only if a real breakthrough.

And do other things that are fun, enjoy life.

Note that the previous section for 08:09—when I decided to follow the plan for good—had the AC in the first degree of Leo (where my Mercury is and close to my AC), as well as MC and moon very similarly to at my own birth. . .

Maybe back on the next page to the 'normal' timeline.

20 June.



Not sure if the core idea in its current state is worth such big plans, yet; Wei Chi and **ELEMENTAL** until worth bothering others (and me) with this. 21 June.

First of all, I still think that the plan is a good one.

However, for the time being, there are some restrictions, as follows.

The book *exactphilosophy.net* (final edition 2025) is clearly labeled as final and as intended as a reference. In that sense, starting again to modify the website exactphilosophy.net arbitrarily, even if only with small changes, seems not ideal, even knowing that there are hardly any visitors at the moment. Hence keep new findings essentially private or maybe at some point publish on the website in a pdf like this one. Should there be a major new discovery regarding the core idea, including recovery of its original beauty, things would, of course, be somewhat different, but even then would have to consider how exactly to handle. All in all, the website will essentially remain unchanged for the time being, except for what I am writing here (which may find an end in the not so far future, or maybe not, does not really matter all that much).

This has some consequences for the twin books 'Space **Time Elements**' / 'Raum **Zeit Elemente**', since the idea there was to include the core website as an appendix. That would have to be coordinated, too. But there is time. First write the book leisurely, then worry about the appendix.

The **REPORTS FOR FUTURE ACADEMIES** can be written freely, they are not so directly tied to the core idea. More precisely, some more important ones might be, could fit very well into that overall idea, but is also not a necessity.

And **ELEMENTAL**, well, just continue, and if it comes to a conclusion, it can also be published and promoted, in that sense it is much more independent of previous work, would be a dream come true.

And finally, I am free to more philosophical thoughts, and writing some things might also lead to a few new insights, or maybe not. Maybe my first Saturn cycle was about learning, the second about both learning and already publishing, and now the third maybe mostly about conveying (or even teaching) my findings.

And there will probably other minor adjustments to the plan, rather to confirm the plan than contradict it, it would seem.

Finally, as of today *exactphilosophy.net* (final edition 2025) is available also at various bookstores besides the big online stores, maybe already since a few days, but hardly earlier than that.

Sounds like a beautiful, realistic, fruitful and leisurely plan.

21 June.



What about Artemis/Hecate and the moon, and mulberries, and so on, the whole theater-moon story? Just one of the reports and a footnote around elements, the three colors? Sorry, but maybe really best to let things be for the moment, except maybe **ELEMENTAL** and generally contemplating things?

Sort of, but I will probably still do some things related to the other parts, but likely not very much.

Hm, just do nothing and fail to completely achieve that goal?

Maybe the theater-moon story would be the key to recover the core idea?

21 June.



But the moon stuff is an integral part of **ELEMENTAL**, and a report even if one of many would shine exactly because of that, and, yes, maybe key to recovery; anyways, I guess the plan survived once more. Still 21 June.

The following plan emerged over the past six pages:

- Twin books 'Space **Time Elements**' / 'Raum **Zeit Elemente**' very modestly and leisurely, and include the core website as appendix.
- **REPORTS FOR FUTURE ACADEMIES** series of short books, very surrealistically and leisurely, create a beautiful but playful design and style early on.
- **ELEMENTAL** push the envelope, again leisurely.
- **exactphilosophy.net** gently preserve, avoid any changes, at most collect them and maybe integrate them as a separate article, unless there is a really breakthrough, but even then remember that the book *exactphilosophy.net* (final edition 2025) is explicitly intended as a non-moving reference.
- And do other things that are fun, enjoy life.
- More details on the past 6 pages and all over the place...
- Decided to follow the plan 20 June 2025 at 08:09 in the morning in Adliswil.

Note that 6 is Artemis' number. 22 June.

And worry less, be like water, forget about yourself a bit...

